UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,882	12/09/2003	James Rohl	279.630US1	6739
	7590 06/18/200 I, LUNDBERG & WO	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2938		NGUYEN, PHONG H		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3724		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/18/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@slwip.com scape@slwip.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/731,882	ROHL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
PHONG H. NGUYEN	3724	

	FIIONG II. NGOTEN	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, wwith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	`	ΓE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	**		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying the	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reid	acted claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of imany reju	solod ciairrio.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant / inchament (1 102 024).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	swapie ii odpinitod in a ocparate,	amery med ameriamer	it our looking the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>10-12,57 and 64-66</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>53-56 and 58-63</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PT 0/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Phong H Nguyen/		
	Examiner, Art Unit 3724 June 15, 2009		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues that the combination of Tsubota and Lyon does not teach the limitation of the lubricant being directed so as to be concentrated at the specified, pre-determined position in case of a rectangular workpiece because the long groove may hold more lubricant in total but it would have the same concentration (amount per area) as the short groove. This argument is not persuasive. As shown in Fig. 7A and described in page 8, lines 3-10 of the Specification, the term "concentrate" means the gathering of lubricant in quantity at area 710 but not the amount per area as asserted by the Applicant. The Applicant does not explain why there is a difference between, for example, 1inch square area of the L-shaped groove 710 in Fig. 7A and 1inch square area of the I-shaped groove of a side of a rectangle. In both cases, the area is 1 inch square.

While the structure of groove in the claimed invention and the combination of Tsubota and Lyon is different, it is not clearly claimed. The functional of area 710 in Fig. 7A is claimed instead. The groove in the combination of Tsubota and Lyon meets the functional language of the area 710; therefore, the combination of Tsubota and Lyon reads on claims 10 and 65.